Title: Socio-Demographic and Economic Differentials in Female Genital Cutting in Tanzania.

Author: Ernest Afrifa - Anane.

Affiliation: Regional Institute for Population Studies (RIPS). University of Ghana, Legon

P. O. Box LG 96, Legon. Ghana.

Telephone: +233243050399, erafank@yahoo.com

Introduction

Female genital cutting (FGC), amongst other harmful traditional practices, has been identified to have contributed to the cases of infant and maternal mortality in sub-Saharan Africa (Idowu: 2010). Although efforts to reduce infant and maternal mortality have been intensified over the past two decades, reported rates in sub-Saharan Africa are still high. In countries where FGC is practiced, infant and maternal mortality is high. The practice has mainly been supported by female adults in society although some writers have noted the influence of men on the continuation of the practice (Gruenbaum: 2001). However, disparities exists amongst females who may have either or not experienced the practice, and their choice regarding the continuation of FGC.

Furthermore, FGC has remained for reasons of the preservation of cultural norms and values since in certain communities FGC is employed for initiation of young females into adulthood or unmarried women into marriage. But worldwide, FGC has been condemned by human rights activists (Idowu: 2010).

In Tanzania, the practice is still prevailing at 15 percent, although a law on FGC has been enacted since 1998 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2005 & 2010). According to Mwambalaswa (2004), the Singida and Mara regions are noted for secretly performing the rite, and in some cases the girls are cut at earlier ages, even in infancy. This is a 'silent' form of infringing on the rights of the child. Meanwhile, Tanzania is still lagging behind other East African nations in meeting the MDGs, although the country has made steady progress towards achieving some of the other health and health-related MDGs – universal primary education and combating HIV and Malaria.

Despite the concerns raised about FGC and its harmful effects on the lives of women, some still believe that the practice is of benefit to young women. Thus, it has become necessary to investigate the issues of people's (mainly women's) attitude towards the practice (Rahman and Toubia: 2000), in order to assess whether there is a likelihood that the practice will be discontinued or not. The present study examined the socio-demographic and economic characteristics of Tanzanian women and their husbands' educational status as they relate to the attitude of the women regarding with respect to the decision to discontinue or continue FGC in that country.

Method

Study Area

The Republic of Tanzania is located in East Africa with a total area of 940,000 square kilometers, which are mostly highlands, islands and coastal plains. It is largely rural, however about two-thirds of the population are urban slum dwellers. The country borders seven countries, but the Indian Ocean to the east; its total population, according to the population census of 2002, is 34,569,232. According to the 2004 estimates, the population growth rate is about 1.95%. Almost about half of the population is young (less than 15 years) and the average life expectancy as at 2002 was 44.39%. The literacy rate is 64.9%. The predominant religion is Christianity (45%) on mainland and Muslim (99%) in Zanzibar land. Tanzania's population is mostly farmers. There are also about 130 ethnic groups scattered amongst the 26 regions.

Study design

Data from the 2004/05 Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey (TDHS), which is a national probability sample household surveys of persons ages 15 to 49 years was used. Women were selected according to their circumcision status (FGC status): that is circumcised or uncircumcised women. Again, since the variable explored is women's decision to approve of FGC, the sample was further selected using the question "should female genital cutting continue?" A sample size of about 7579 women was used for the study. Some of the characteristics of the women which were explored included: age, education, religion, region and

place of residence, husband's education, wealth status and FGC status. The study also considered certain variables in order to measure reasons given for the continuation of the practice; these variables include timing of first sex, timing of first marriage and pregnancy complications.

Data Analysis

The unit of analysis is the individual woman aged between 15 and 49 years. Descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages) were used to describe the study population. Where relevant, the Chi-square test was used to test for significance between two groups. A multinomial regression analysis was also performed since there were four categories in the dependent variable. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. However, for the multinomial analysis, if the p-value of any coefficient is less than or equal to 0.01 (***), 0.05 (**), 0.10 (*) then that parameter is considered significant. Analysis was done with SPSS.

The study tested three main hypotheses:

- 1. Woman's education in Tanzania is inversely related to the decision to continue female genital cutting.
- 2. Women who have undergone FGC are more likely to decide to continue the practice, than women who have not.
- 3. Women whose husbands have higher educational status are more likely to decide to discontinue FGC.

Limitation of the study

The study is limited for reasons of inadequate questions that may have helped in exploring the reasons given for FGC's continuation.

Results

Of the 7,579 women interviewed at the 2009 TDHS, 1,509 (20%) had undergone genital cutting. However, the general prevalence rate in Tanzania over the past ten years has 15%, as reported by the 2004 and 2009 TDHS. The prevalence rate of FGC was higher among the women studied than in the total national population.

Table 1.0 shows the characteristics of the study population. A little above a third (38.5%) of the women were young (15-24) and about a quarter were 35 years and over. Amongst these women, most had completed primary education (69.8%); however, very few amongst them were circumcised (19.1%) and still a little over one-tenth had had secondary or higher education (8.7% and 2.5%). About 64.8% of the women were rural residents. The study also revealed that while about three out of every 10 women are Muslims (34.7%), about one would have no religion. Women in the Lake Zone constituted 30% whilst about 9.8% were in the Central zone. In addition, since Tanzania women were mostly farming or trading and also the country's main occupation being mostly agriculture, about a third of these women were of the richest category. Although a little above one fifth (22.5%) of the women were not married, majority of the women had husbands with primary education (55.2%). Furthermore, only a third (30.5%) of the women had married before the mean age of marriage (18.3); most of these women were uncircumcised (78.77%). However, more than two –thirds (67.1%) of the women had had sex before their first union, and still uncircumcised women were of the majority. But the findings showed that women who had had pregnancy complication were just a few (17.6%).

| Characteristics | FGC Sta | FGC Status (%) | | Total | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------|-----|--------|--|--|--|
| | Circumcised | Uncircumcised | (%) | Number | | | |
| FGC Status | 199 | 80 1 | 100 | 7579 | | | |
| i de Status | | | 100 | 1019 | | | |
| Age of woman | | | | | | | |
| 15 - 24 | 16.4 | 83.6 | 100 | 2919 | | | |
| 25 - 34 | 19.8 | 80.2 | 100 | 2689 | | | |
| 35 + | 25.3 | 74.7 | 100 | 1971 | | | |
| Education of woman | | | | | | | |
| No Education | 32.6 | 67.4 | 100 | 1434 | | | |
| Primary | 19.1 | 80.9 | 100 | 5291 | | | |
| Secondary | 2.7 | 97.3 | 100 | 662 | | | |
| Higher | 5.2 | 94.8 | 100 | 192 | | | |
| Religion | | | | | | | |
| Muslim | 13 3 | 86 7 | 100 | 2629 | | | |
| Catholic | 19.7 | 80.3 | 100 | 2118 | | | |
| Protestants | 26.3 | 73 7 | 100 | 2225 | | | |
| None | 26.2 | 73.8 | 100 | 604 | | | |
| Other | 33.3 | 67.7 | 100 | 3 | | | |
| Other | 55.5 | 07.7 | 100 | 5 | | | |
| Place of residence | | | | | | | |
| Rural | 26.5 | 73.5 | 100 | 4912 | | | |
| Urban | 7.8 | 92.2 | 100 | 2667 | | | |
| Wealth Status | | | | | | | |
| Poorest | 34.7 | 65.3 | 100 | 1085 | | | |
| Poorer | 29.2 | 70.8 | 100 | 1219 | | | |
| Middle | 25.1 | 74.9 | 100 | 1262 | | | |
| Richer | 16.5 | 83.5 | 100 | 1554 | | | |
| Richest | 8.3 | 91.7 | 100 | 2429 | | | |
| Husband's Education | | | | | | | |
| No Education | 34.3 | 65.7 | 100 | 930 | | | |
| Primary | 22.3 | 77 7 | 100 | 4190 | | | |
| Secondary | 7 2 | 02.8 | 100 | 4150 | | | |
| Higher | 9.5 | 90.5 | 100 | 285 | | | |
| Don't know | 11.5 | 88.5 | 100 | 1729 | | | |
| — · | | | | | | | |
| Region | 10.0 | 00.0 | 100 | 2142 | | | |
| Coastal | 10.2 | 89.8 | 100 | 2143 | | | |
| Northern | 52.1 | 47.9 | 100 | 1050 | | | |
| Lake | 7.8 | 92.2 | 100 | 2274 | | | |
| Central | 61.8 | 38.2 | 100 | 744 | | | |
| Southern | 7.8 | 92.2 | 100 | 1367 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Table 1.0 Characteristics of women by their FGC Status.

Source: 2004/05 TDHS

Generally, women in Tanzania want FGC to stop. When asked whether FGC should continue or not, about 90.8% of the women were certain to respond in the negative, whiles about 4.2% were still uncertain (1.8% for it depends and 2.4% for don't know).



Figure 1.1: Percentage distribution of women by decision to approve of FGC.

However, comparing women by their circumcision status, amongst the circumcised women whilst almost a fifth (18%) wants FGC continued, less than 2% of the uncircumcised do likewise. On the other hand, almost 9 out of every 10 uncircumcised women want the discontinuation of FGC as regards 7 out of 10 for circumcised women, as seen in Figure 1.2. For uncertainty about the decision to approve the practice, almost the same proportion (4.2% and 4.3% for circumcised and uncircumcised respectively) of the women either attributed their decision the some other factors which were not mentioned or they may not be sure of their decision.



Figure 1.2: Women Status and their decision on the approval of FGC.

The age of the women was found to influence the decision to approve of FGC amongst circumcised women ($\chi 2 = 11.782$, p-value =0.067). Younger circumcised women were about one-fifth (20.3%) in the decision to approve of the continuation of FGC's category, as against 1.8% of uncircumcised women. However, age did not have any influence on the decision to approve of FGC for uncircumcised women. Decision to approval of FGC was also dependent on religion, despite the circumcision status. There were about half (50%) of circumcised women with no religion who decide to approve of FGC's continuation: a smaller proportion of the uncircumcised (2.9%) women approve of the practice. Rural resident women are likely to decide to approve of FGC (19.8% and 2.5% for circumcised and uncircumcised respectively) other than urban residents. It was also observed that, husband's education also influenced the decision to approve of FGC; as the husband's education rises the decision level to approve of FGC reduces. There is no difference between the women by circumcision status. Zonal differences were also identified. Whiles about a third (29.4% and 34.0% respectively) of circumcised women in the

Lake and Southern zones decide to approve of FGC's continuation, only 3.3% and 1.0% of the uncircumcised women approve of FGC's continuation.

Table 2.0: Relationship between Demographic characteristics of women and their decision to approve of FGC

| Characteristic | Decision to Approve of FGC (%) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| | Circumcised Total | | | | Total | Uncircumcised | | | | Total |
| | Contin | Discon | It | Don't | (%) | Contin | Discon | It | Don't | (%) |
| A | ued | tinued | depends | know | | ued | tinued | depends | know | |
| Age of woman | 20.2 | 75.0 | 2.2 | • • | | 1.0 | 02.4 | 1.6 | 1.2 | |
| 15 - 24 | 20.3 | /5.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | | 1.8 | 93.4 | 1.0 | 1.3 | |
| 25 - 34 | 17.5 | 78.8 | 2.4 | 1.3 | | 1.6 | 94.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 | |
| 35 + | 16.5 | 79.3 | 3.8 | 0.4 | | 1.2 | 94.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | |
| | $\gamma 2 = 11.782$ p-value = 0.067 | | | χ2 | = 9.798 | p-value = 0.133 | | 3 | | |
| Religion | | | - | | | 70 | | | | |
| Muslim | 14.4 | 80.2 | 4.3 | 1.1 | | 0.9 | 97.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | |
| Catholic | 18.4 | 77.8 | 2.6 | 1.2 | | 2.2 | 93.6 | 1.6 | 2.6 | |
| Protestants | 11.1 | 86.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 | | 1.6 | 94.0 | 1.5 | 2.8 | |
| None/Other | 50.0 | 42.4 | 6.3 | 1.3 | | 2.9 | 81.5 | 4.5 | 11.1 | |
| | | ·) - 15) | 53 n va | luo —0 0 | በብ | ~1 | - 103 26 | n voluo | - 0 000 | |
| Place of residence |) | (2 – 132. | 55 p-va | iue –0.0 | 00 | λ- | - 195.20 | p-value | - 0.000 | |
| Rural | 10.8 | 75.0 | 2.0 | 1 / | | 25 | 01.6 | 2.1 | 28 | |
| Urban | 67 | 73.9 80.5 | 2.9 | 1.4 | | 2.5 | 91.0 07.6 | 2.1 | J.0 1 1 | |
| Cibali | 0.7 | 69.5 | 2.9 | 1.0 | | 0.4 | 97.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | |
| | 2 | ₂ = 21.6 | 28 p-va | lue =0.0 | 00 | χ2 | = 96.419 | p-value | e = 0.000 | 1 |
| Husband's Education | | | | | | | | | | |
| No Education | 27.3 | 68.0 | 4.1 | 0.6 | | 3.5 | 90.8 | 3.1 | 2.6 | |
| Primary | 15.9 | 80.2 | 2.5 | 1.4 | | 1.7 | 93.5 | 1.8 | 3.1 | |
| Secondary | 15.6 | 81.3 | 3.1 | 0 | | 0.5 | 97.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | |
| Higher | 7.4 | 92.6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 98.1 | 1.1 | 07 | |
| Don't know | 14.6 | 79.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | | 1.4 | 95.0 | 0.9 | 2.7 | |
| | n | $v^2 = 33.1$ | 85 n-va | lue =0 0 | 01 | ~? | = 47 392 | n-value | = 0 000 | |
| Region | | (| oc p a | iue 010 | •1 | <i>ν</i> - | | P fuiture | 0.000 | |
| Coastal | 19.7 | 76.1 | 2.3 | 1.8 | | 0.4 | 98.3 | 0.7 | 0.6 | |
| Northern | 18.8 | 77.5 | 29 | 07 | | 12 | 98.2 | 0.2 | 04 | |
| Lake | 29.4 | 62.1 | 56 | 2.8 | | 33 | 88.1 | 3.1 | 54 | |
| Central | 83 | 88 3 | 2.2 | 13 | | 0.7 | 94 7 | 14 | 3.2 | |
| Southern | 34.0 | 63.2 | 1.9 | 0.9 | | 1.0 | 95.7 | 1.0 | 2.2 | |
| $u^2 = 78$ (62 m volue = 0.000 $u^2 = 222.10$ m volue = 0.000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | $\chi^2 = 70.005$ p-value = 0.000 | | | <u> X</u> 2 | - 223.10 | p-value - 0.000 | | | | |
| Total | 18.0 | 77.8 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 100 | 1.6 | 94.1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 100 |
| Source: 2004/05 TDHS | | | | | | | | | | |

Further analysis using cross tabulations and Chi-Square test indicated that amongst all women, their economic characteristics has a strong influence (p<0.000) on their decision to approve of the practice. For instance, as the level of education rises, there is the likelihood of a woman to decide to discontinue FGC. For circumcised women ($\chi 2 = 49.735$ p-value =0.000), whiles a little above a quarter (27%) of women with no education, decided to approve the continuation of the practice, only 14% of women with primary education, approves of FGC's continuation. But all women with secondary or higher (100% respectively) education were certain to approve of the discontinuation of FGC. Similarly for uncircumcised women, educational level influences the decision to approve of the discontinuation of FGC ($\chi 2 = 88.440$ p-value = 0.000).

Women's wealth status also influences decision to approve of female genital cutting. The richest category had about more than four-fifth (90.6% and 98.3% respectively for the circumcised and uncircumcised) women to decide on the discontinuation of FGC. On the other hand, women in the poorest category had a greater proportion in the decision to approve of FGC's continuation.

| Characteristic | Decision to Approve of FGC | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|-----|
| | Circumcised | | | | Total | | Uncircumcised | | | |
| | Contin | Discon | It | Don't | (%) | Contin | Discon | It | Don't | (%) |
| | ued | tinued | depends | know | | ued | tinued | depends | know | |
| Education of woman | | | | | | | | | | |
| No Education | 27.8 | 67.9 | 2.8 | 1.5 | | 3.1 | 88.8 | 2.8 | 5.3 | |
| Primary | 14.0 | 81.7 | 3.0 | 1.3 | | 1.6 | 94.3 | 1.5 | 2.6 | |
| Secondary | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | | 0.2 | 99.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| Higher | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 97.8 | 2.2 | 0 | |
| | $\chi 2 = 49$ |).735 p | -value =0 | .000 | | χ2 = 88 | $\chi 2 = 88.440$ p-value = 0.000 | | | |
| Wealth Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Poorest | 27.1 | 68.4 | 2.9 | 1.6 | | 3.4 | 91.5 | 2.1 | 3.0 | |
| Poorer | 19.4 | 76.3 | 2.8 | 1.4 | | 2.4 | 89.4 | 3.6 | 4.5 | |
| Middle | 18.3 | 77.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 | | 3.1 | 89.3 | 2.0 | 5.6 | |
| Richer | 11.7 | 84.4 | 2.3 | 1.6 | | 1.6 | 94.8 | 1.1 | 2.5 | |
| Richest | 5.9 | 90.6 | 3.0 | 0.5 | | 0.2 | 98.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | |
| | $\chi 2 = 51.743$ p-value = 0.001 $\chi 2 = 174.16$ p-value = 0.000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 18.0 | 77.8 | 2.9 | 1.3 | 100 | 1.6 | 94.1 | 1.6 | 2.7 | 100 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.0 Relationship between Woman's economic characteristics and Decision to approve of FGC

Source: 2004/05 TDHS.

Discussion

Key findings

Generally, a Nagelkerke R-square of 0.262 indicated that about 26% of the variation in decision to approve of female genital cutting is explained by the demographic and economic characteristics of the woman. In addition, an R-square of 0.223 and 0.168 for the showed variation decision to approve of FGC for the circumcised and uncircumcised respectively. It is implied from this that the characteristics of the circumcised influenced the decision on the approval of FGC more than the uncircumcised. But whiles uncircumcised women were more likely to approve of the discontinuation of FGC, circumcised women were more likely to approve of the continuation of FGC. Again, education was also found to influence the decision to

approve of the discontinuation of FGC, and thus whether a woman had undergone the practice or not her educational level is likely to influence her decision to approve of FGC.

Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendation

The findings of the study showed that, the mean age of the respondent is 28.5 years. FGC was less common among younger women in the study population and thus younger women were less likely to report being circumcised. This is reflected in the decline in the prevalence level from 18% in 1996 to 15% in 2004. This can be explained as the increase in the knowledge level and the awareness creation of the consequences of the practice. However, due to a strong attachment to cultural values the prevalence rate was still 15% at the 2009/10 TDHS. In other words, older women hold onto cultural/traditional practice more than younger women, younger women were more likely to be uncertain (indicated don't know and it depends). This may be due to the changing trend in the attitudes towards the practice, which may be attributed to increase in knowledge of the practice and modernization (Dandash & Refaat, 2001; Msuya et al.; 2002).

FGC is deeply entrenched in culture. Women with no religion, adhere more to culture; religion that may be indigenous to the society. In addition studies have also documented that the practice predates the appearance of both Islamic and Christian religions and so they may be influenced to perform the practice since the society such women reside may be practicing it. Even though religion has been found not to be a main cause of the existence of the practice, this study shows the contrary and it may not be attributed to the fact that these religion teach on the continuation of the practice, but the fact that it is a societal norm and religion has a little or no influence on the attitude to FGC (Dandash & Refaat, 2001; Gruenbaum, 2005).

Women in rural areas are more likely to have undergone the practice than their counterparts in urban areas. This can also be explained by greater adherence of rural communities to traditional practices. Uncircumcised women suffer social stigma no matter their residential status, but since rural settings have strong attachment to culture, circumcised women are more likely to decide on the continuation of FGC (Dandash & Refaat, 2001, Khattab, 1996). Therefore, rural women were more likely to decide to approve of the continuation of FGC than to approve of its discontinuation. Thus place of residence is strongly associated with the decision of a woman's approval of FGC.

Again, the regional differences are due to the different prevalence rates by region. The National Bureau of Statistics in Tanzania reported that Dodoma, Arusha, Manyara in the 2004/05 TDHS to have high prevalence. This is basically attributed to the Maasai and Chagga tribes located in the Central zone who adhere more to the practice of FGC than other ethnic groups.

Husbands were mostly of primary education and thus may have a lower likelihood of choosing a wife who has been circumcised compared to those of no education. The belief that FGC has been sustained in the male-dominated countries in order to suppress women's sexuality was not observed in the study population. This is because the practice is almost always controlled, performed, and strongly upheld by women (Abusharaf, 2001; Shweder, 2000). It is that mostly women who take their daughters to be cut and thus the male dominance in a society does not predict the institution of the practice. On the contrary, Dandash and Refaat (2001) found in Nigeria that fathers' education was a significant factor which influences the practice. This is because uneducated fathers often fear community reaction and may not comment of issues that pertain to customs, if they had any.

There is a low level of female education in Tanzania and can further be due to the high rate of school drop-out as a result of early marriage and pregnancy, especially among circumcised females. This is because women who have undergone the practice are considered to be an adult.

They thus, marry earlier than their counterparts who are more educated and consequently drop out of school. Studies have also supported that women with high education are better informed with the effects of the practice such as haemorrhage, stillbirth, infection and sometimes infertility on the woman and thus will be guided by that in order to decide on the approval of FGC (Rossem & Gage, 2009; Refaat and Dandash, 2001; Simister, 2010; Kolawole et. al, 2010).

Finally, women in Tanzania are likely to be rich, and this may be due to the fact that they are mostly involved in farming and trading, since the country's occupation is mostly agriculture. However, women's current wealth status did not have significant influence on FGC status when she was cut in the past, according to the multinomial analysis of the data. Some intervening variables such as the place of residence and the level of education can be the plausible explanation for that. Although some studies have shown a significant relationship between economic status and FGC, others show the otherwise (Yount, 2002).

This study has revealed that female genital cutting exists in Tanzania and the practice has an association with some background characteristics of women. For instance education, region and place of residence as well as husband's educational level, were related to the practice of female genital cutting. However, irrespective of circumcision status, women with high economic status disapproved of the continuation of FGC. But women who have undergone the practice approved of FGC's continuation.

Continued education on the risks of FGC has to be upheld by Tanzania and advocacy groups as a means of reducing the prevalence of the practice. Government can also give incentives for those who decide not to do it. For example, a waiver of school fees in high school can be given to women who decide to prevent their daughters from undergoing FGC.

References

Abebe G. et al. (2009). Support for the Continuation of Female Genital Mutilation Among Adolescents in Jimma Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Science Vol 19. No2. July 2009.

Abusharaf, R. M. (2001). *Virtuous cuts: Female genital circumcision in an African ontology. Differences:* A Journal of Feminist Cultural Studies, 12 (1), 112.

Acres J. (2009). *A Public Health Profile of Tanzania*, NHS Education South Central. Compiled September 2009.

Adam et al. (2010). *Estimating the obstetric costs of female genital mutilation in six African countries.* Bulletin of the World Health Organisation 2010.

Almroth, L., Aimroth-Berggren, V., Hassanein, O.M, Al-Said, S.S.E., Hassan, A.S.A., Lithell, U. and Bergstrom, S. 2001. Male complications of female genital mutilation, Soc/a/Science a«(yA/e(//ane, 53 11 1455- 1460.

Al Naggar, A. R. (1985, July 12). Female circumcision and religion. Translation of communication presented to the Inter-African Committee on Traditional Practices, Nairobi, Kenya, pp. 3-5.

Amnesty International Ireland (2010), *Ending female genital mutilation to promote the achievement of the millennium development goals*. Belgium. www.endfgm.eu Belgium.

Amusan & Asekun-Olarinmoye (2007). *Knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes to female genital mutilation (FGM) in Shao community of Kwara State, Nigeria*. Int Q Community Health Educ. 2006-2007; 27(4):337-49.

Badawi, M (1989). *Epidemiology of Female Sexual Castration in Cairo, Egypt.* First International Symposium on Circumcision, Anaheim, California, March 1–2, 1989. Retrieved online May, 2011, at <u>http://www.nocirc.org/symposia/first/badawi.html</u>.

Binswanger J. & Carman K.G. (2010). *The Role of Decision Making Processes in the Correlation between Wealth and Health*. Department of Economics, Center and Netspar, Tilburg University Netherlands.

Brewer, D. D., Potterat, J. J., Jr, J. M. R., & Brody, S. (2006). *Male and Female Circumcision Associated With Prevalent HIV Infection in Virgins and Adolescents in Kenya, Lesotho, and Tanzania*. Immunodeficiency.doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2006.10.010.

Bureau, P. R. (n.d). *ABANDONING Prevalence, Attitudes, and Efforts to End the Practice. Sustainable Development.*

Caldwell, J. C., & Caldwell, P. A. T. (1997). *MALE AND FEMALE CIRCUMCISION IN AFRICA FROM A REGIONAL TO A SPECIFIC NIGERIAN EXAMINATION* Equator Males circumcised. Science, 44(8).

Cheserem, S. (2010). FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION IN KENYA - A LITERATURE REVIEW. Nursing.

Cottingham J. And Kismodi E. (2009). *Protecting girls and women from harmful practices affecting their health*: Are we making progress? International Journal of Gyneacology and Obstetrics. Elsevier Ireland Ltd.

Dandash, K. F., & Refaat, A. H. (2001). *Female Genital Mutilation*: A Descriptive Study. Community Medicine, 453-458.

Dandash, K. F., Refaat A. & Defrawi, M. H. E. L. (2001). Female Genital Mutilation and Domestic Violence in Egypt. Community Medicine, 593-596.

Darby, R. (2003). *The Masturbation Taboo and the Rise of Routine Male Circumcision*: A Review of the Historiography. Journal of Social History 26(3):737–757.

Doctor-, H. V., & Hodgson, A. V. (n.d.). *Factors associated with males' intention to circumcise their daughters in northern Ghana*. Journal of Social Development in Africa, 22(1).

Dorkenoo, Efua1995. *Female genital mutilation, cutting the rose*. The practice and its prevention. United Kingdom minority right group.

Dorkenoo, E. and El Worthy, S. (2000) Female Genital Mutilation; Proposals for change. Minority rights group international report, London 1992; 92: 1-4. 272 EAST AFRICAN MEDICAL JOURNAL May 2000.

El-defrawi, M. H. (2001). Female Genital Mutilation and its Psychosexual Impact. Community Medicine, 465-473.

Epstein, C. F. (2007). Great divides: The cultural, cognitive, and social bases of the global subordination of women. American Sociological Review, 72, 1–22.

Gage, A J, & Rossem, R. V. (2006). *Attitudes toward the discontinuation of female genital cutting among men and women in Guinea*. Distribution, 92-96. doi: 10.1016/j.ijgo.2005.09.019.

Gage, A. J. & Rossem V. (2009). The effects of female genital mutilation on the onset of sexual activity and marriage in Guinea. Archives of sexual behavior, 38(2), 178-85. doi: 10.1007/s10508-007-9237-5.

Germain, A., & Kidwell, J. (2008). The Unfinished for the Agenda Next for Reproductive Health: Years Priorities. Agenda, 31(2), 90-93.

Gollaher, D.L. (2000). Circumcision: A History of theWorld's Most Controversial Surgery. New York: Basic Books.

Greif, M. J., Dodoo, F. N.-amoo, & Jayaraman, A. (2011). Urbanisation, Poverty and Sexual Behaviour: The Tale of Five African Cities. Urban Studies. doi: 10.1177/0042098010368575

Gruenbaum, E. (2001). *The female circumcision controversy*: An anthropological perspective. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Heidi J. et al. (1999). *Female Genital Cutting Practices in Burkina Faso and Mali and Their Negative Health Outcomes.* Studies in Family Planning Vol. 30 No. 3 pp 219-230.

Hopkins, S, Mmedsci, R. G. N., Dipsocstudies, R. N. T., & Certed, O. N. C. (1999). A discussion of the legal aspects of female genital mutilation. Nursing, 30(4), 926-933.

Hosken, F. (1994). The Hosken Report: Genital and Sexual Mutilation of Females. 4th rev. ed. Lexington, MA: Women's International Network News <u>http://www.ipu.org/wmn-e/fgm-prov-t.html</u> Accessed, July 20, 2011.

Idowu, A. A (2010). *Effects of female genital mutilation on human rights of women and female children*: The Nigerian situation. In Research Journal of International Studies Issue 8 pp 13-26.

Integrated Regional Information Networks (IRIN), (2005). Razor's Edge - The Controversy of Female Genital Mutilation - IRIN Web Special, March 2005www.IRINnews.org.

Kalev, H. D. (2004). Cultural Rights or Human Rights: The Case of Female Genital Mutilation, 51(September).

Kerubo, K. R. (2010). FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION- EFFECTS ON WOMEN AND YOUNG GIRLS. Human Rights.

Khattab, H. (1996). *Women's perception of sexuality in Rural Giza*. Monographs in Reproductive Health No. 1, The Population Council Regional Office for West Asia and North Africa.

Kijo-Bishimba Helen, Sharon Lee, & John Wallace. (1999). Report on the Findings of Research into the Practice of Female Genital Mutilation in Tanzania. Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania: Konrad Adeneur Foundation Legal and Human Rights Center

Klouman, E., Manongi, R., & Klepp, K.-inge. (2005). Self-reported and observed female genital cutting in rural Tanzania: associated demographic factors, HIV and sexually transmitted infections. Tropical Medicine and International Health, 10(1), 105-115.

Kolawole et al. (2010). A review of determinants of female genital mutilation in Nigeria. Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences Vol. 1(11) pp.510-515 <u>http://www.interesjournals.org/JMMS</u>.

Lax, R. F., & D, P. (2000). SOCIALLY SANCTIONED VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN: FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION IS ITS MOST BRUTAL FORM. Clinical Social Work Journal, 28(4), 403-413.

Mackie, G. (2003). Female Genital Cutting: A Harmless Practice? Medical Anthropology Quarterly 17(2):135–158.

Mackie G. and LeJeune J. (2009), 'Social Dynamic of Abandonment of Harmful Practices: A New Look at the Theory'. Special Series on Social Norms and Harmful Practices, Innocenti Working Paper No. 2009-06, Florence, UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre.

Magoha G.A.O and Mahoga O.B (2000): Current Global Status of Female Genital Mutilation: A Review. East African Medical Journal Vol. 77 No (5), 268-272. Nairobi.

Martin, J. F., & Williams, R. C. (2006). Female Genital Cutting and Mother's Age at Birth are Associated with the Sex of The spring in Africa.

Ministry of Women and Children's Affairs (MOWAC) and UNICEF, (2009). Children in Ghana. UNICEF Acera.

Monahan, K. (n.d.). Cultural Beliefs, Human Rights Violations, and Female Genital Cutting: Complication at the Crossroad of Progress. Education, 5(3), 21-36. doi: 10.1300/J500v05n03.

Msuya et al. (2002). Female Genital Cutting in Kilimanjaro, Tanzania: Changing attitudes? Tropical Medicine and International Health. Vol.7 No. 2 pp 159-165.

Mwambalaswa, S. (2004). Female Genital Mutilation Practice in Tanzania. Statistics, Mzumbe University, Tanzania.

National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) [Tanzania] and ICF Macro. 2010. Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey 2010, Preliminary report. Calverton, Maryland: NBS and ICF Macro.

Nations, U., Meeting, E. G., & Ras-work, B. (2006). The impact of harmful traditional practices on the girl child. Sierra, (September), 25-28.

Nukunya G. K. (2003). Tradition and Change in Ghana: An Introduction to Sociology. Second Ed. Ghana Universities Press, Accra.

Obermeyer C. M. (1999). Female Genital Surgeries: The Known, the Unknown, and the Unknowable. Medical Anthropology Quarterly, New Series, Vol. 13; No. 1, pp. 79 – 106.

Ontario Human Right Commission (OHRC; 2008). Policy on Female Genital Mutilation.

Osam S. (2007). Violence Against Women: An analysis of cases presented in the print media. ABANTU for Development, Accra-Ghana.

Okonofua, F. E., Larsen, U., Oronsaye, F., Snow, R. C., & Slanger, T. E. (2002). The association between female genital cutting and correlates of sexual and gynaecological morbidity in Edo State, Nigeria. October, 109(October), 1089 -1096.

Plowman T. M (2010). Review: The Perfect Vagina. Presented by Lisa Rogers Channel 4 TV; UK, 17 August 2008. Reproductive Health Matters.

Population Reference Bureau (2008). Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting: Data and Trends (Washington, DC: PRB, 2008).

Population Reference Bureau (2010a). Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting: Data and Trends (Washington, DC: PRB, 2010a).

Population Reference Bureau (2010b). The Role of Policymakers In Ending Female Genital Mutilation: An African Perspective (Washington, DC: PRB, 2010b).

Rahman and Toubia (2000). Female Genital Mutilation: A Guide to Laws and Policies Worldwide (London and New York: Zed Books).

Rogers, J. (2009). A CHILD IS BEING MUTILATED Fantasies of Female Genital Mutilation Law. Development, 24(60). doi: 10.1080/08164640902852407.

Shweder, R.A. (2000). What about "female genital mutilation"? And why understanding culture matters in the first place. Daedalus, 129 (4), 209.

Simister, J. G. (2010). Domestic Violence and Female Genital Mutilation in Kenya: Effects of Ethnicity and Education, 247-257. doi: 10.1007/s10896-009-9288-6.

Stallings, R. Y. (2004). Female Circumcision and HIV Infection in Tanzania: for Better or for Worse?

UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre (2006). The Impact of Harmful traditional practices on the girl child. Florence. Italy.

Visandjee, B., Kantiebo, M., Levine, A., & N'Dejuru, R. (2003). The cultural context of gender identity: Female genital excision and infibulation Health Care Women Int, 24, 115-124

World Health Organization (2001). Female Genital Mutilation. The Prevention and the Management of the Health Complications Policy Guidelines for nurses and midwives, Geneva.

World Health Organization (2008). Eliminating Female Genital Mutilation: An Interagency Statement Geneva. Accessed on 12.03.2011 <u>http://www.who.int/reproductive-health</u>

World Vision International (2005). What is Violence? Perspectives from Children in Tanzania. World Vision International United Nations Liaison Office, New York USA. www.wvi.org or www.child-rights.org.

www.panos.org.uk, May 2002. Accessed 20th May, 2011.

www.policyforum.or.tz ©Policy Forum 2009.

Yount, Kathryn M. (2002). "Like Mother, Like Daughter? Female Genital Cutting in Minia, Egypt." Journal of Health and Social Behavior 43 (3):336-58.